



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

7/1

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,479	02/05/2004	Fredrick R. Driscoll	6818-64	4983	
30448	7590	05/04/2006	EXAMINER		
AKERMAN SENTERFITT				VASUDEVA, AJAY	
P.O. BOX 3188				ART UNIT	
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188				PAPER NUMBER	
				3617	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,479 Examiner Ajay Vasudeva	DRISCOLL ET AL. Art Unit 3617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 26-39 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 26-39 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the following must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

- A stabilization device coupled to the buoy, as set forth in claims 5 and 31.
- A pressure sensor, as set forth in claim 37.

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

- A compression ejection spring positioned in the deployment chamber to expel the payload autonomous mooring system from the deployment chamber (emphasis added), as set forth in claims 27 and 39.

Claim Objections

3. Applicant is requested to make the following changes in the claims:
 - In claim 1 (line 17), after "module via", insert – **the** --.
 - In claim 27 (line 3), after "system from", insert – **the** --.
 - In claim 29 (line 8), after "mooring line module including", change "**the**" to – **a** --.
 - In claim 29 (line 10), after "an amount of", insert – **the** --.
 - In claim 29 (line 20), after "mooring line module via", change "**a**" to – **the** --.

4. Claim 29 (line 21) sets forth the limitation "**a flexible parachute coupled to the segments of the plurality of arms and extending between the arms ... around the mooring line module to form a parachute**" (emphasis added).

It is not clear if the applicant intended to recite "**the anchor**" instead of "**the mooring line module**". A clarification and/or correction is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 27 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the original specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3617

The claims recite a "compression ejection spring positioned in the deployment chamber to expel the payload autonomous mooring system from the deployment chamber" (emphasis added).

It is however noted that the original Specification, in fact, discloses the spring as being positioned in the mooring line module to separate the anchor from the mooring spool or the mooring line module, rather than the spring being positioned in the deployment chamber for expelling the payload autonomous mooring system from the deployment chamber. The following are some excerpts from the Specification:

Paragraph [0051]: "A means, such as a compression ejection spring, may be used to help force the anchor from the mooring spool or the mooring line module".

Paragraph [0051]: "Further, the ejection guide 330 may have a recess 334 in an inner lower surface to receive a release spring 336, that may be used to release the mooring line module 170 from the air brake/anchor 110 when the mooring system has entered the water"

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-11 and 26-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

(a) In claims 1 and 29 (line 6 and 2, respectively), use of the limitation "plurality of mooring arms rotatably coupled to a body of the anchor and biased to extend radially outward upon deployment from a deployment chamber above a bottom" (emphasis added) renders the claims indefinite. It is not clear from the phrasing of the claims as to what such claimed bottom refers to – whether the bottom refers to (a) the bottom of

Art Unit: 3617

the anchor body or the deployment chamber; or if the bottom has been used for (b) the terrain (sea floor) on which the anchor is supposed to land after being air dropped.

Additionally, regarding claim 29 (line 11), if the term "bottom" has, in fact, been used to indicate a terrain, it is not clear if "a sea floor" (emphasis added) used on line 11 of the claim is same or different from the "bottom" used earlier in the claim.

(b) In claims 1 and 29 (line 8 and 6, respectively), use of the limitation "wherein the autonomous is separated from the deployment chamber" (emphasis added) renders the claims indefinite. It is not clear whether such claimed "autonomous" refers to the autonomous mooring device or any other autonomous component.

Insertion of – mooring device – after "autonomous" will, however, overcome this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-11 and 26-39 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first & second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-11 and 26-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajay Vasudeva whose telephone number is (571) 272-6689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 12:00 -- 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajay Vasudeva
Examiner
Art Unit 3617


Ajay Vasudeva
PATENT EXAMINER
4/29/06